arXiv:1604.07333v1 [math.RT] 25 Apr 2016

A FILTRATION ON RINGS OF REPRESENTATIONS OF NON-ARCHIMEDEAN GL_n

MAXIM GUREVICH

Abstract. Let F be a p-adic field. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the Grothendieck ring of complex smooth finite-length representations of the groups $\{GL_n(F)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ taken together, with multiplication defined in the sense of parabolic induction. We introduce a width invariant for elements of $\mathcal{R}$ and show that it gives an increasing filtration on the ring. Irreducible representations of width 1 are precisely those known as ladder representations.

We thus obtain a necessary condition on irreducible factors of a product of two ladder representations. For such a product we further establish a multiplicity-one phenomenon, which was previously observed in special cases.

1. Introduction

Let F be a p-adic field. Let $\mathcal{R}_n$ be the Grothendieck group associated with the category of complex smooth finite-length representations of the group $GL_n(F)$. Given two smooth representations π_i of GL_{n_i} $(i = 1, 2)$, $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ is defined as the parabolic induction of $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ to $GL_{n_1+n_2}(F)$ from a block upper-triangular maximal Levi subgroup. This product operation equips the group $\mathcal{R} = \oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{R}_n$ with a structure of a commutative ring.

This ring is long known (see [11]) to be a polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ in infinitely many variables. One way to observe this is by recalling the Langlands classification, which gives a bijection from the irreducible representations $\mathrm{Irr} = \cup_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Irr}(GL_n(F))$ to the so-called standard representations. The collection of standard representations is closed under multiplication and gives a basis to $\mathcal{R}$ as a free abelian group. In particular, the essentially square-integrable (segment representations) elements of Irr freely generate $\mathcal{R}$ as a polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Yet, the collection Irr itself gives a different basis to $\mathcal{R}$ as a free abelian group. Our note joins an effort to describe the multiplicative structure of $\mathcal{R}$ in terms of this natural basis.

It is known (see [4] for an efficient description) that the transition matrix between the two mentioned above bases, i.e. standard and irreducible representations, is given by values of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for symmetric groups. Thus, given $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}$, the irreducible factors of $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ can in principle be determined by computing these polynomials.

However, there is hope that the complexity involved in such a computation may be overcome by applying more direct methods on the problem. For example, irreducibility of $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ for unitarizable representations is a classical result due to Bernstein [2]. The results of Lapid and Mínguez [7] further deal with this question and supply direct combinatorial criteria for irreducibility in some cases.

Date: April 26, 2016.

One class of irreducible representations which was shown to be susceptible to such methods is that of ladder representations, introduced in [6]. In [1], an interpretation of the role ladder representations as analogous to that of finite-dimensional representations in category $\mathcal{O}$ was given. In this work we present a new invariant of finite-length representations which attempts to quantify the distance from the well-behaved properties of ladder representations.

Given a supercuspidal $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}$, we write $\mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ for the set of elements of Irr whose supercuspidal support consists of representations on the supercuspidal line $\{\rho \otimes |\det|^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$ be the group (which is a subring) generated by $\mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ in $\mathcal{R}$. It is enough to study the multiplicative structure of $\mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$ for a fixed ρ, since any $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}$ is uniquely decomposed as $\pi = \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_k$, where $\pi_i \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\rho_i}$, for $\{\rho_i\}$ that belong to disjoint supercuspidal lines.

Recall that the Zelevinski classification[1] [11] of $\mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ describes each irreducible representation as a multiset of segments, i.e. intervals of the form $[a, b]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. A ladder representation would then be given by a set of the form $\{[a_i, b_i]\}_{i=1}^k$, with $a_1 < \ldots < a_k$ and $b_1 < \ldots < b_k$.

For any $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$, we call the *width* $\omega(\pi)$ of π to be the minimal number of ladder sets of segments required to cover disjointly the multiset attached to π. Our first result claims that ω serves as a length function for an increasing filtration on the ring $\mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$ in the following sense.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}$ be a supercuspidal representation. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{M}_n$ be the subgroup generated in $\mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$ by all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ with $\omega(\pi) \leq n$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{M}_i \cdot \mathcal{M}_j \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{i+j}, \qquad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, Theorem 1.1 gives for any $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}$ a necessary condition for the occurrence of irreducible representations in the composition series of $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$.

The problem of finding a general rule in terms of multisegments for the precise composition series of $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ appears to be far from reach (as mentioned, even determining irreducibility proved to be difficult). Nevertheless, on the first level of our filtration, namely when π_1, π_2 are ladder representations, this task was shown to be feasible by the works of Tadic [10] and Leclerc [8]. These provide such formulas for a product of ladder representations taken from certain subclasses.

Our second result establishes a general phenomenon previously observed for those subclasses.

Theorem 1.2. *For any ladder representations $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_{n_1})$, $\pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_{n_2})$, the isomorphism classes of irreducible subquotients of $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ are all of multiplicity one.*

In other words, in the group $\mathcal{R}_{n_1+n_2}$, we have $[\pi_1 \times \pi_2] = [\sigma_1] + \ldots + [\sigma_k]$, for $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_{n_1+n_2})$, with $\sigma_i \ncong \sigma_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Both Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 provide "upper bounds" on the set of irreducible subquotients of a parabolically induced representation. Let us finish by stating a conjecture of Erez

[1] In what follows we work with the Langlands classification, but we refer to it in terms of Zelevinski's multisegments. The classifications are dual to each other, as explained for example in [7].

Lapid, which claims that in a certain sense these bounds are tight for the case of a product of ladder representations.

Conjecture 1.3. *Let $a_1 < \ldots < a_{2k} < b_1 < \ldots < b_{2k}$ be given integers. Suppose that $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ is the ladder representation associated with the multiset $\{[a_{2i}, b_{2i}]\}_{i=1}^{k}$, $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ is the ladder representation associated with the multiset $\{[a_{2i-1}, b_{2i-1}]\}_{i=1}^{k}$ and λ is the standard representation associated with the union multiset $\{[a_j, b_j]\}_{j=1}^{2k}$. Then, in $\mathcal{R}$, we have*

$$[\pi \times \pi'] = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]} \colon \omega(\sigma) \leq 2, \\ \sigma \text{ is a subquotient of } \lambda}} [\sigma] \ .$$

In other words, there is an expected case in which all possible representations of width 2 should occur in a single product. We expect this case to provide insight towards a formulation of a general rule for the irreducible factors of a product of ladder representations.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Erez Lapid for introducing the subject to me and for many fruitful discussions.

2. Notation and preliminaries

2.1. **Generalities.** For a p-adic group G, let $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ be the category of smooth complex representations of G of finite length. Denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible objects in $\mathfrak{R}(G)$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ the subset of irreducible supercuspidal representations. Let $\mathcal{R}(G)$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathfrak{R}(G)$. We write $\pi \mapsto [\pi]$ for the canonical map $\mathfrak{R}(G) \to \mathcal{R}(G)$.

Given $\pi \in \mathfrak{R}(G)$, we have $[\pi] = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} c_\sigma \cdot [\sigma]$. For every $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$, let us denote the multiplicity $m(\sigma, \pi) := c_\sigma \geq 0$.

Now let F be a fixed p-adic field. We write $G_n = GL_n(F)$, for all $n \geq 1$, and G_0 for the trivial group.

For a given n, let $\alpha = (n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ be a composition of n. We denote by M_α the subgroup of G_n isomorphic to $G_{n_1} \times \cdots \times G_{n_r}$ consisting of matrices which are diagonal by blocks of size $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ and by P_α the subgroup of G_n generated by M_α and the upper unitriangular matrices. A standard parabolic subgroup of G_n is a subgroup of the form P_α and its standard Levi factor is M_α. We write $\mathbf{r}_\alpha : \mathfrak{R}(G_n) \to \mathfrak{R}(M_\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{i}_\alpha : \mathfrak{R}(M_\alpha) \to \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$ for the normalized Jacquet functor and the parabolic induction functor associated to P_α.

Note that naturally $\mathcal{R}(M_\alpha) \cong \mathcal{R}(G_{n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{R}(G_{n_r})$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(M_\alpha) = \mathrm{Irr}(G_{n_1}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Irr}(G_{n_r})$.

Definition 2.1. *We say that a representation $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_m)$ is a Jacquet module component of $\pi \in \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$ if there is a Levi subgroup $M_\alpha < G_n$ and a representation $\tau = \tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r \in \mathrm{Irr}(M_\alpha)$, such that $\sigma \cong \tau_i$ for some i and $m(\tau, \mathbf{r}_\alpha(\pi)) > 0$.*

For $\pi_i \in \mathfrak{R}(G_{n_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, we write

$$\pi_1 \times \dots \times \pi_r = \mathbf{i}_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)}(\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_r) \in \mathfrak{R}(G_{n_1+\dots+n_r}).$$

Let us write $\mathcal{R} = \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathcal{R}(G_m)$. This product operation defines a commutative ring structure on the group $\mathcal{R}$, where the trivial one-dimensional representation of G_0 is treated as an identity element.

We also write $\mathrm{Irr} = \cup_{m \geq 0} \mathrm{Irr}(G_m)$ and $\mathcal{C} = \cup_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{C}(G_m)$.

Given a set X, we write $\mathbb{N}(X)$ for the commutative semigroup of maps from X to $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with finite support. For $A \in \mathbb{N}(X)$, we write

$$\underline{A} = \{x \in X \,:\, A(x) > 0\} \subseteq X$$

for the support of A. Given a set $S \subseteq X$, we write $\mathbb{1}_S \in \mathbb{N}(X)$ for the indicator function of S. This gives an embedding $X \to \mathbb{N}(X)$ by $x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_x$. We will sometimes simply refer to X as a subset of $\mathbb{N}(X)$ by implicitly using this embedding.

For $A \in \mathbb{N}(X)$, we write $\#A = \sum_{x \in X} A(x)$ for the size of A.

For $A, B \in \mathbb{N}(X)$ we say that $A \leq B$ if $B - A \in \mathbb{N}(X)$.

2.2. **Langlands classification.** Let us describe the Langlands classification of Irr in terms convenient for our needs.

For any n, let $\nu^s = |\det|_F^s$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ denote the family of one-dimensional representations of G_n, where $|\cdot|_F$ is the absolute value of F. For $\pi \in \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$, we write $\pi\nu^s := \pi \otimes \nu^s \in \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$.

Given $\rho \in \mathcal{C}(G_n)$ and two integers $a \leq b$, we write $L([a,b]_\rho) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_{n(b-a+1)})$ for the unique irreducible quotient of $\rho\nu^a \times \rho\nu^{a+1} \times \dots \times \rho\nu^b$. It will also be helpful to set $L([a, a-1]_\rho)$ as the trivial representation of G_0.

We also treat the *segment* $\Delta = [a,b]_\rho$ as a formal object defined by the triple $([\rho], a, b)$. We denote by Seg the collection of all segments that are defined by $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$ and integers $a - 1 \leq b$, up to the equivalence $[a,b]_\rho = [a',b']_{\rho'}$, when $\rho\nu^a \cong \rho'\nu^{a'}$ and $\rho\nu^b \cong \rho'\nu^{b'}$.

A segment Δ_1 is said to precede a segment Δ_2, if $\Delta_1 = [a_1, b_1]_\rho$, $\Delta_2 = [a_2, b_2]_\rho$ and $a_1 \leq a_2 - 1 \leq b_1 < b_2$. We will write $\Delta_1 \prec \Delta_2$ in this case and say that the pair $\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$ is linked.

We will write $[a_1, b_1]_\rho \subseteq [a_2, b_2]_\rho$ when $a_2 \leq a_1$ and $b_1 \leq b_2$.

The elements of $\mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg})$ are called *multisegments*. Langlands classification gives a bijection

$$L : \mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg}) \to \mathrm{Irr}$$

that extends the definition of L for a single segment described above.

Given a non-zero multisegment $\mathfrak{m}$, it is possible to write it as $\mathfrak{m} = \Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_k$, where $\Delta_i \in \mathrm{Seg}$ for all i, and $\Delta_j \not\prec \Delta_i$ for all $i < j$. We then define the *co-standard module* associated with $\mathfrak{m}$ to be the representation

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m}) = L(\Delta_1) \times \dots \times L(\Delta_k)\ .$$

The isomorphism class of $\tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m})$ does not depend on the enumeration of segments, as long as the condition above is satisfied. The representation $\tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m})$ has a unique irreducible sub-representation, which is isomorphic to $L(\mathfrak{m})$. We refer to [7] for a more thorough discussion of the classification with a similar terminology.

When none of the pairs of segments in $\mathfrak{m}$ are linked the representation $L(\mathfrak{m})$ is called generic. In that case $\tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong L(\mathfrak{m})$.

Remark 2.2. *We always have* $m(L(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2), L(\mathfrak{m}_1) \times L(\mathfrak{m}_2)) = 1$, *for* $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2 \in \mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg})$.

2.3. **Supercuspidal lines.** For every $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}$ there exist $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r \in \mathcal{C}$ for which π is a sub-representation of $\rho_1 \times \cdots \times \rho_r$. The notion of supercuspidal support can then be defined as

$$\mathrm{supp}(\pi) := \rho_1 + \ldots + \rho_r \in \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{C}) \ .$$

Note that supercuspidal supports can be easily read from the Langlands classification. Namely, for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg})$ and all $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathrm{supp}(L(\mathfrak{m}))(\rho) = \sum_{\Delta \in \mathrm{Seg} \,:\, \rho \in \underline{\mathrm{supp}(\Delta)}} \mathfrak{m}(\Delta)$, while for a single segment $\Delta = [a, b]_\rho$ we have $\mathrm{supp}(\Delta) = \rho\nu^a + \rho\nu^{a+1} + \cdots + \rho\nu^b$.

Given $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$, we call

$$\mathbb{Z}_{[\rho]} := \{\rho\nu^a \ : \ a \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$$

the *line* of ρ.

We write $\mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]} \subseteq \mathrm{Irr}$ for the collection of irreducible representations whose supercuspidal support is supported on $\mathbb{Z}_{[\rho]}$. We also write $\mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]} = \{[a, b]_\rho \in \mathrm{Seg} \ : \ a - 1 \leq b\}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$ for the ring generated by $\mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ in $\mathcal{R}$. It is then straightforward that the restriction of L gives a bijection $\mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]}) \to \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$.

If the lines of $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r \in \mathcal{C}$ are distinct and $\pi_i \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\rho_i}$, then $\pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_r$ is irreducible. Thus, we can deal with questions of decomposition of induced representations in $\mathcal{R}$ by analyzing $\mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$, for a single $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$.

3. Width invariant

Recall that $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}$ is called a *ladder representation* if $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ for some $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\pi = L(\mathfrak{m})$, where $\mathfrak{m} = [a_1, b_1]_\rho + \ldots + [a_k, b_k]_\rho \in \mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]})$ is such that $a_1 < \ldots < a_k$ and $b_1 < \ldots < b_k$. In this case we will also call $\mathfrak{m}$ a ladder multisegment.

Let us fix a supercuspidal representation $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$ for the rest of this note. We will naturally identify $\mathbb{Z}_{[\rho]}$ with $\mathbb{Z}$. For $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ we will then refer to $\mathrm{supp}(\pi)$ as an element of $\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{Z})$. A non-trivial $\Delta \in \mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]}$ can be uniquely written as $\Delta = [a, b]_\rho$. Therefore, we will simply write $\Delta = [a, b]$. We then write $b(\Delta) = a$ and $e(\Delta) = b$.

Definition 3.1. *The width* $\omega(\mathfrak{m})$ *of a non-trivial multisegment* $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]})$ *is the minimal number* k, *for which it is possible to write* $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \ldots + \mathfrak{m}_k$ *for some ladder multisegments* $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_k \in \mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]})$.

We also write $\omega(\pi) = \omega(\mathfrak{m})$ *for the width of the representation* $\pi = L(\mathfrak{m}) \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$.

For any $\pi \in \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$ *with* $[\pi] \in \mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$ *the definition is extended by*

$$\omega(\pi) = \max\{\omega(\sigma) \ : \ \sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_n), \ m(\sigma, \pi) > 0\}.$$

Note that ladder representations are precisely those irreducible representations with width 1. Note too that $\omega(\mathfrak{m})$ is always bounded by the number $\#\mathfrak{m}$ of segments in $\mathfrak{m}$.

Now, let us consider the relation $\preceq'$ on $\mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]}$ that is defined by

$$[a,b] \preceq' [c,d] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{either } \begin{cases} a < c \\ b < d \end{cases} \text{ or } [a,b] = [c,d].$$

This relation can be viewed as the transitive and reflexive closure of $\prec$. Note that if $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]}$ are such that $\Delta_1 \not\preceq' \Delta_2$ and $\Delta_2 \not\preceq' \Delta_1$, then we must have either $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2$ or $\Delta_2 \subseteq \Delta_1$.

Lemma 3.2. *For every* $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]})$,

$$\omega(\mathfrak{m}) = \max\{k \ : \ \textit{there are non-trivial segments } \Delta_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \Delta_k \textit{ s.t. } \Delta_1 + \ldots + \Delta_k \leq \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

Proof. Note that the collection of segments in $\mathfrak{m}$, counted with multiplicities, together with the relation $\preceq'$ is a poset. A chain for this poset would give a ladder multisegment, while an antichain is a multisegment $\Delta_1 + \ldots + \Delta_n \leq \mathfrak{m}$ for which $\Delta_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \Delta_n$ holds. Thus, the statement follows from Dilworth's theorem [3].

□

It follows from the Geometric Lemma of Bernstein-Zelevinski (see [7, Section 1.2]) that the collection of Jacquet module components of $\pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_k \in \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$ is precisely the collection of representations $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}$ for which $m(\tau, \sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_k) > 0$ holds, for some choice of Jacquet module components σ_i of π_i, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$, let $b(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}_{[\rho]} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ be the minimal element in $\underline{\mathrm{supp}(\sigma)}$. We write $B(\sigma) = \mathrm{supp}(\sigma)(b(\sigma))$ for the multiplicity of $b(\sigma)$ in $\mathrm{supp}(\sigma)$.

For $\pi \in \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$ with $[\pi] \in \mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$, we write

$$j(\pi) = \max\{ \ B(\pi') \ : \ \pi' \in \mathrm{Irr} \text{ is a Jacquet module component of } \pi\}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *For every* $\pi \in \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$ *with* $[\pi] \in \mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$, *we have*

$$\omega(\pi) \leq j(\pi).$$

Proof. From exactness of the Jacquet functor, it suffices to prove the statement for $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$.

Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ be given. We write $\pi = L(\mathfrak{m})$ and $k = \omega(\pi)$. By Lemma 3.2, there are non-trivial segments $\Delta_1 + \ldots + \Delta_k \leq \mathfrak{m}$ for which $\Delta_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \Delta_k$. We write

$$S = \left\{\Delta \in \mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]} \ : \ \Delta_i \preceq' \Delta \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}.$$

Let us define the following multisegments:

$$\mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathbb{1}_S \cdot (\mathfrak{m} - (\Delta_1 + \ldots + \Delta_k)),$$

$$\mathfrak{m}_2 = (1 - \mathbb{1}_S) \cdot (\mathfrak{m} - (\Delta_1 + \ldots + \Delta_k)) = \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}_1 - (\Delta_1 + \ldots + \Delta_k).$$

We claim that

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m}_2) \times L(\Delta_1) \times \cdots \times L(\Delta_k) \times \tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m}_1).$$

It is enough to check that $\Delta \not\prec \Delta'$, for all $\Delta \in \underline{\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}_2}$ and all $\Delta' \in \underline{\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}_1}$.

Assume the contrary for certain Δ, Δ'. Then $\Delta_{i_0} \preceq' \Delta$ for a certain i_0 and from the transitivity of $\preceq'$ we get $\Delta_{i_0} \preceq' \Delta'$. Hence, $\Delta' \notin \underline{\mathfrak{m}_2}$ and we must have $\Delta' = \Delta_j$ for some j. But, from $\Delta_{i_0} \preceq' \Delta \prec \Delta' = \Delta_j$ we get $\Delta = \overline{\Delta'} = \Delta_{i_0}$, which is a contradiction to the non-reflexivity of $\prec$.

Since π is embedded in $\tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m})$, from Frobenius reciprocity we see that the representation $\pi' := L(\Delta_1 + \ldots + \Delta_k) \cong L(\Delta_1) \times \cdots \times L(\Delta_k)$ is a Jacquet module component of π.

Let us write $\Delta_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then, $a_1 \leq \ldots \leq a_k$ and $b_1 \geq \ldots \geq b_k$. From the formula for Jacquet modules of segment representations and the Geometric Lemma, we know that

$$\mathbf{r}_\alpha(\pi') = L\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}[a_k, b_i]\right) \otimes L\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}[a_i, a_k - 1]\right),$$

where P_α is the appropriate parabolic subgroup.

Thus, $\pi'' := L\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}[a_k, b_i]\right)$ is a Jacquet module component of π', and therefore also of π. Thus, $\omega(\pi) = k = B(\pi'') \leq j(\pi)$.

□

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ be ladder representations.*
Then $\omega(\pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_k) \leq k$.

Proof. A Jacquet module component σ of $\pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_k$ is a subquotient of $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_k$, where each σ_i is a Jacquet module component of π_i. By the result of [5], every such σ_i must be a ladder representation, which means that $B(\sigma_i) = 1$. It easily follows that $B(\sigma) = B(\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_k) \leq k$. Hence, $j(\pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_k) \leq k$ and the result follows from Lemma 3.3.

□

Corollary 3.5. *For every $\pi \in \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$ with $[\pi] \in \mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$,*

$$\omega(\pi) = j(\pi).$$

Proof. It is enough to consider $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}$. By definition we can write $\pi = L(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \ldots + \mathfrak{m}_k)$, where $k = \omega(\pi)$ and $\pi_i = L(\mathfrak{m}_i)$ are ladder representations, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus, $m(\pi, \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_k) > 0$. From exactness of the Jacquet functor and the proof of Proposition 3.4, we get $j(\pi) \leq j(\pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_k) \leq k$. Combining with Lemma 3.3, the statement now follows.

□

Theorem 1.1 now follows from the next corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *For all $\pi_1 \in \mathfrak{R}(G_{n_1})$, $\pi_2 \in \mathfrak{R}(G_{n_2})$ with $[\pi_1], [\pi_2] \in \mathcal{R}_{[\rho]}$,*

$$\omega(\pi_1 \times \pi_2) \leq \omega(\pi_1) + \omega(\pi_2).$$

Proof. By exactness of parabolic induction it is enough to assume that $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$. Suppose that $\pi_1 = L(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \ldots + \mathfrak{m}_s)$ and $\pi_2 = L(\mathfrak{m}_{s+1} + \ldots + \mathfrak{m}_t)$ for ladder representations $\tau_i = L(\mathfrak{m}_i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $s = \omega(\pi_1)$, $t - s = \omega(\pi_2)$. Then from Remark 2.2 it follows that $m(\pi_1, \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_s) > 0$ and $m(\pi_2, \tau_{s+1} \times \cdots \times \tau_t) > 0$. Thus, again by exactness of

parabolic induction $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ appears as a subquotient of $(\tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_s) \times (\tau_{s+1} \times \cdots \times \tau_t)$. The statement now follows from Proposition 3.4.

□

4. Multiplicity One

Lemma 4.1. *Let a, b, c be integers with $a < b$ and $a - 1 \leq c \leq b$. Fix the representation $\pi = L([a, b] + [a, c]) \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$.*

Suppose that $\pi_1 = L(\mathfrak{m}_1), \pi_2 = L(\mathfrak{m}_2)$ are ladder representations. Then,

$$m(\pi,\ \pi_1 \times \pi_2) \leq 1\ .$$

In case $m(\pi, \pi_1 \times \pi_2) = 1$ holds, both π_1, π_2 must be generic representations. Furthermore, when $c = b$ we must have $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2 \cong L([a, b])$. Otherwise, when $c < b$, the pair $\{\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2\}$ must be of the form

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{t} [a_{2i}, a_{2i+1} - 1],\ [a, c] + \sum_{i=1}^{s} [a_{2i-1}, a_{2i} - 1] \right\},$$

for some $a = a_0,\ c + 1 < a_1 < \ldots < a_l = b + 1$, with either $l = 2t + 1 = 2s + 1$ or $l = 2s = 2t + 2$.

Proof. Since the pair of segments $\{[a, b], [a, c]\}$ is not linked, π is a generic representation. Recall that means that the space of π carries a non-zero Whittaker functional. From exactness of the Whittaker functor, we deduce that $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ carries a non-zero Whittaker functional as well. Now, by Rodier's theorem [9] $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ is generic, hence, so are π_1, π_2. Moreover, since π_1, π_2 are irreducible it follows from the same theorem that the space of Whittaker functionals on $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ is one-dimensional. Again, by exactness this means π cannot appear with multiplicity > 1 in the product.

Note, that since $\mathfrak{m}_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are multisegments for which $L(\mathfrak{m}_i)$ are generic ladder representations, their segments must be pairwise unlinked. Thus, we can write $\mathfrak{m}_i = [t^i_0, t^i_1 - 1] + [t^i_2, t^i_3 - 1] + \ldots + [t^i_{2k_i}, t^i_{2k_i+1} - 1]$, for $t^i_0 < t^i_1 < \ldots < t^i_{2k_i+1},\ i = 1, 2$.

Note that,

$$2\,\mathrm{supp}(L([a, c])) \leq \mathrm{supp}(L([a, c]) + \mathrm{supp}(L[a, b]) = \mathrm{supp}(\pi) =$$

$$= \mathrm{supp}(\pi_1 \times \pi_2) = \mathrm{supp}(\pi_1) + \mathrm{supp}(\pi_2)\ .$$

Clearly it follows that $[a, c] \subseteq [t^1_{2j_1}, t^1_{2j_1+1} - 1]$ and $[a, c] \subseteq [t^2_{2j_2}, t^2_{2j_2+1} - 1]$ for some j_1, j_2.

The rest of the statement easily follows after noting that each of the supercuspidals appearing in $\underline{\mathrm{supp}(L([c + 1, b]))}$ must appear only once in $\mathrm{supp}(\pi_1) + \mathrm{supp}(\pi_2)$.

□

Given $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}(\mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]})$ with $\pi = L(\mathfrak{m}) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_n)$, let us denote the collection of integers

$$B_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{b(\Delta)\ :\ \Delta \in \underline{\mathfrak{m}}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{[\rho]} \cong \mathbb{Z}\ .$$

We can write $B_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_k\}$ with $b_1 < \ldots < b_k$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, write $S_j = \{\Delta \in \mathrm{Seg}_{[\rho]}\ :\ b(\Delta) = b_j\}$. With these notations we can write

$$\pi_{\otimes} = L(\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{S_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{S_k})$$

for the representation of the corresponding Levi subgroup M_{α_π} of G_n.

Since $L(\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{S_j})$ are generic representations, it is clear that $\tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \mathbf{i}_{\alpha_\pi}(\pi_{\otimes})$. Since π is embedded in $\tilde{\lambda}(\mathfrak{m})$, by adjunction we always find $\pi_{\otimes}$ as a quotient of $\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_\pi}(\pi)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\pi_1 = L(\mathfrak{m}_1), \pi_2 = L(\mathfrak{m}_2)$ be ladder representations in $\mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$. Suppose that $\pi_1 \times \pi_2 \in \mathfrak{R}(G_n)$.*

For any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_n)$, we have $m(\sigma_{\otimes}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha_\sigma}(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)) \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $\sigma = L(\mathfrak{n}) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_n)$. We will prove the statement by induction on the number $\#\mathfrak{n}$ of segments in $\mathfrak{n}$.

Let $\Delta \in \underline{\mathfrak{n}}$ be a segment with minimal $b(\Delta)$. Note that all irreducible subquotients of $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ must have the same supercuspidal support, i.e. $\mathrm{supp}(L(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2))$. We may assume that $\mathrm{supp}(\sigma) = \mathrm{supp}(L(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2))$, for otherwise the statement is trivially true. Thus, $b(\Delta)$ is also the minimal point in $\underline{\mathrm{supp}(L(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2))}$.

Since $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2$ are ladders, $\overline{\mathrm{supp}(\sigma)}(b(\Delta)) \leq 2$. Hence, if $m(\sigma_{\otimes}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha_\sigma}(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)) > 0$, then there can be at most one segment $\hat{\Delta} \in \underline{\mathfrak{n} - \Delta}$ with $b(\hat{\Delta}) = b(\Delta)$. In case there is no such segment, let us still write $\hat{\Delta} = [b(\Delta), b(\Delta) - 1]$. Moreover, let us always assume that $e(\hat{\Delta}) \leq e(\Delta)$.

We write $\sigma' = L(\mathfrak{n} - \Delta - \hat{\Delta})$. Then, $\sigma_{\otimes} = L(\Delta + \hat{\Delta}) \otimes \sigma'_{\otimes} \in \mathrm{Irr}(M_{\alpha_\sigma})$. Let us write $M_\beta \cong G_{m_1} \times G_{m_2}$ for the standard Levi subgroup of G_n corresponding to $L(\Delta + \hat{\Delta}) \otimes \sigma'$. Then M_{α_σ} can be written as $G_{m_1} \times M_{\alpha_{\sigma'}}$.

By the Geometric Lemma (see again [7, Section 1.2]), we know that

$$[\mathbf{r}_\beta(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)] = \sum_i [\tau_1^i \times \tau_2^i] \otimes [\delta_1^i \times \delta_2^i],$$

where i goes over all possible irreducible subquotients $\tau_1^i \otimes \delta_1^i$ and $\tau_2^i \otimes \delta_2^i$ of the appropriate Jacquet modules of π_1, π_2, respectively. Since $\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_\sigma} = (1 \otimes \mathbf{r}_{\alpha_{\sigma'}})\mathbf{r}_\beta$, we have

$$m(\sigma_{\otimes}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha_\sigma}(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)) = \sum_i m(L(\Delta + \hat{\Delta}), \tau_1^i \times \tau_2^i) \cdot m(\sigma'_{\otimes}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha_{\sigma'}}(\delta_1^i \times \delta_2^i)).$$

Recall that from the result of [5] it follows that τ_j^i, δ_j^i are all ladder representations. By the induction hypothesis it is enough to show that $m(L(\Delta + \hat{\Delta}), \tau_1^i \times \tau_2^i) = 0$ for all i, except for possibly one index i_0, and that $m(L(\Delta + \hat{\Delta}), \tau_1^{i_0} \times \tau_2^{i_0}) \leq 1$. The latter statement indeed follows from Lemma 4.1.

Suppose that the Jacquet modules of π_1, π_2 have respective irreducible subquotients $\tau_1 \otimes \delta_1$, $\tau_2 \otimes \delta_2$, for which $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ contains $L(\Delta + \hat{\Delta})$ as a subquotient. It remains to show the uniqueness of such subquotients.

Note first that if $\Delta = \hat{\Delta}$, then Lemma 4.1 implies that $\tau_1 \cong \tau_2 \cong L(\Delta)$, which gives the wanted conclusion. Thus, we can assume $e(\hat{\Delta}) < e(\Delta)$ henceforth.

Lemma 4.1 now states that such pair $\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ must be of the form $\mathcal{P} = \{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$, where

$$\rho_1 = L\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t}[a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}-1]\right), \quad \rho_2 = L\left(\hat{\Delta} + \sum_{i=1}^{s}[a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}-1]\right),$$

for some $a = a_0,\ e(\hat{\Delta}) + 1 < a_1 < \ldots < a_l = b+1$, with either $l = 2t+1 = 2s+1$ or $l = 2s = 2t+2$. Here we write $\Delta = [a, b]$.

Let us write $\pi_i = L(\Delta_1^i + \ldots + \Delta_k^i)$ with $e(\Delta_{k_i}^i) < \ldots < e(\Delta_1^i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Recall from [5] that τ_i, being a leftmost Jacquet module component, must be expressible in the form $L\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_i}[c_j^i, e(\Delta_j^i)]\right)$, where $c_{k_i}^i < \ldots < c_1^i$ and $b(\Delta_j^i) \le c_j^i \le e(\Delta_j^i) + 1$ for all $1 \le j \le k_i$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Let us first consider the case that $\hat{\Delta}$ is a non-trivial segment. Then, $\mathrm{supp}(L(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2))(b(\Delta)) = 2$ and $c_{k_1}^1 = c_{k_2}^2 = b(\Delta)$. Comparing the descriptions of $\mathcal{P}$ with that of $\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$, we see that $\{e(\Delta_{k_1}^1), e(\Delta_{k_2}^2)\} = \{e(\hat{\Delta}), a_1 - 1\}$. Since $e(\hat{\Delta}) < a_1 - 1$, there is a unique identification between $\mathcal{P}$ and $\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. Hence, we can assume without loss of generality that $\tau_1 = \rho_1$ and $\tau_2 = \rho_2$.

Now, in the other case, that is when $\hat{\Delta}$ is the trivial segment, $\mathrm{supp}(L(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2))(b(\Delta)) = 1$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $m(b(\Delta), \mathrm{supp}(\pi_1)) = 1$. Clearly, we again have $\tau_1 = \rho_1$ and $\tau_2 = \rho_2$.

Assume now there is a different pair $\tau_1' \otimes \delta_1'$, $\tau_2' \otimes \delta_2'$ of irreducible subquotients of the respective Jacquet modules of π_1, π_2, such that

$$\tau_1' = L\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t'}[a_{2i}', a_{2i+1}' - 1]\right), \quad \tau_2' = L\left(\hat{\Delta} + \sum_{i=1}^{s'}[a_{2i-1}', a_{2i}' - 1]\right),$$

with similar assumptions on the indices.

Let $1 \le i_0$ be the minimal index for which $a_{i_0} \ne a_{i_0}'$. We can assume that $a_{i_0}' < a_{i_0}$. Also, without loss of generality we can assume that i_0 is odd. Otherwise, π_2 should replace π_1 for the rest of the argument.

Note that $a_{i_0} - 1 = e(\Delta_{j_0}^1)$ and $a_{i_0-1} = c_{j_0}^1$ for some j_0. Using a similar reasoning on τ_1', we see there is an index j_1 for which $a_{i_0}' - 1 = e(\Delta_{j_1}^1)$. Hence, $e(\Delta_{j_1}^1) < e(\Delta_{j_0}^1)$ and $j_0 < j_1$. Therefore,

$$c_{j_1}^1 < c_{j_0}^1 = a_{i_0-1} = a_{i_0-1}' < a_{i_0}' = e(\Delta_{j_1}^1) + 1.$$

In particular, $[c_{j_1}^1, e(\Delta_{j_1}^1)]$ is a non-trivial segment in the multisegment defining τ_1, which means $a_{i_0}' = a_{i_1}$ for some odd $i_1 < i_0$. But, since $i_1 < i_0 - 1$ we get a contradiction from $a_{i_1} < a_{i_0-1} < a_{i_0}'$.

□

Corollary 4.3 (Theorem 1.2)**.** *Given ladder representations $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}$, $m(\sigma, \pi_1 \times \pi_2) \le 1$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho_1]}$ and $\pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho_2]}$. If $\rho_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}_{[\rho_2]}$ then $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ is irreducible and there is nothing to prove. Therefore we are free to assume $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$.

Now we assume the contrary, that is, there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_{[\rho]}$ with $m(\sigma, \pi_1 \times \pi_2) \geq 2$. Since $m(\sigma_\otimes, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha_\sigma}(\sigma)) > 0$, that would mean that $m(\sigma_\otimes, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha_\sigma}(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)) \geq 2$. This contradicts Proposition 4.2.

□

References

[1] D. Barbasch and D. Ciubotaru. Ladder representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. *Representations of Reductive Groups: In Honor of the 60th Birthday of David A. Vogan, Jr.*, 312:117, 2015.

[2] J. N. Bernstein. P-invariant distributions on $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ and the classification of unitary representations of $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ (non-Archimedean case). In *Lie group representations, II (College Park, Md., 1982/1983)*, volume 1041 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 50–102. Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[3] R. P. Dilworth. A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 51:161–166, 1950.

[4] A. Henderson. Nilpotent orbits of linear and cyclic quivers and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of type A. *Represent. Theory*, 11:95–121 (electronic), 2007.

[5] A. Kret and E. Lapid. Jacquet modules of ladder representations. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 350(21-22):937–940, 2012.

[6] E. Lapid and A. Mínguez. On a determinantal formula of Tadić. *Amer. J. Math.*, 136(1):111–142, 2014.

[7] E. Lapid and A. Mínguez. On parabolic induction on inner forms of the general linear group over a non-archimedean local field. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.6310*, 2014.

[8] B. Leclerc. A Littlewood-Richardson rule for evaluation representations of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 50:Art. B50e, 12 pp. (electronic), 2003/04.

[9] F. Rodier. Whittaker models for admissible representations of reductive p-adic split groups. In *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVI, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1972)*, pages 425–430. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.

[10] M. Tadić. On the reducibility points beyond the ends of complementary series of p-adic general linear groups. *J. Lie Theory*, 25(1):147–183, 2015.

[11] A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 13(2):165–210, 1980.

Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 7610001, Israel
E-mail address: max.gurevich@weizmann.ac.il